

Vote	COMMENT
NO	As stated in the Parish Plan, the majority of residents do not want any development in EF hence this idea has been turned down before by the majority. If residential development is needed to fund the scheme, would it not be sensible to consult MBC first in order to ascertain if planning permission would be granted?
NO	My views are well known: accept that some development is needed to pay for the village green, hall, traffic calming & parking. A simple back of envelope exercise would provide broad cost data. How will land be bought without a willing seller?
NO	In the past the 'Village Green' plan was viewed as a scheme by the landowner to convert agricultural land to building land for financial benefit. Is it any different now?
YES	Provided sketch map & commentary...
NO	I am against any residential developments whether 'small and discreet' or not. Forge Lane cannot cope with any extra traffic. There should be no need for car parking for the school. The Bull is available with a lollipop lady. Lazy mums will still park as close as possible to the school. Why should we suffer for people who do not even live here? There is also no law to stop Housing Association buying residential properties which happened at our last address. 'Executive Home' were bought and we were hounded out by thugs.
NO	We do not need any residential development in village, also the rec should be used more and possibly use as parking for school. We need to keep the Old School Hall for village use.
NO	Crime will rise. Village shop needed. This money would be better spent on solar powered lights, a bus shelter for people, and stop these articulated lorries coming down Forge Lane. A village green is a focal point for them young people to meet and commit crime, deal drugs etc. No way I'm very against it.
YES	Excellent idea for a focal point.
NO	This proposal will open the village to further development and destroy that which makes it a special place to live
NO	This would be money wasted. The green would not be an asset for the village and would change the character of this ancient village
NO	Change is not always for the good. We like the rural, natural look to the village
YES	Keep heavy vehicles off the bridge, but not out of the village because businesses will have to go as well
YES	I understand that the Old School Hall has already been sold. A new village centre needs to take into account people living at the far south end of the village.
YES	Priority should be given to the school parking and the junction at The Bull
NO	Aimed too high - traffic scheme at Bull Inn junction more important & ways to stop congestion in Vicarage Lane during term times. This can be done cheaper - no to more development of houses in that particular area. There are other options.
NO	A village green would only become a mecca for young kids to gather in groups and cars. It will be in a remote area which is mostly unpoliced and vulnerable.
YES	Think the proposal is a good one, as long as the residential development is both discreetly sighted and in keeping with surrounding properties and is truly "small".
YES	Should include a 20 mph max limit through the village on Lower Road - investigate making it one way?
YES	It would be good if a village shop could be developed at the same time to help fund the proposal.
NO	We prefer the village to stay as it is.
YES	I am anxious to see the Feasibility Study & assume it would give details of the village green and control of traffic
NO	I think a car park is necessary
NO	Good idea for village green but rather concerned on development
NO	Looks like a housing development plan. "Small" - define - you haven't
NO	Our family who have resided in EF for over 20 years see no reason for these "developments" proposal.
YES	Don't see green/centre requirement, but study should focus on road and parking improvements
YES	Speed restrictions between Farleigh Club & Farleigh Forge Garage, ie like Coxheath
NO	See Attached Letter and Reply
Abstain	Would want to see the wording of the brief the study is designed to answer first. Best way forward is a public meeting.
Abstain	I am unsure about the proposal re village centre/green. I do feel the school hall should be retained if possible, and heavy vehicles prevented from using the

	bridge.
NO	We are in support of keeping the Old School Hall for village use, and keeping heavy vehicles off our ancient bridge. A definite No to the Village Green.
NO	103 v 11 on a possible village green. How many of these knew about the housing development needed to pay for it & how many villagers voted YES to more houses being built?
NO	Who are the EFPPAG and who are they representing?
NO	To fund items 1, 2 & 3, a development of 1,500 houses at least would be required to fund it. The existing main drainage accommodate this ... of development.
NO	I agree with items 2 and 3. The village is too spread out for a village green , and if you had it what would you use it for?
NO	Born in EF in 1922. Horse Trough paid for by Village including my late parents and should never be moved
NO	I have lived in the village for over 30 years and served 12 years (1988-2000) on the Parish Council. I came to the conclusion when involved that the village was better left as it was and believe this to remain the right conclusion. Do not waste your time or money!!
NO	Rather save our ancient bridge
YES	I am in favour but believe residents living in the village centre should have the loudest voice
YES	Not only needs a green but a car park for school mums.
YES	How about showing ideas on Parish Council website? Run a blog? Residential development to be sustainable - for young people or low cost.
YES	We thought the hall was sold?
YES	It would be better for East and West Farleigh to join, rather than compete, which often seems to be the case in this area
YES	It would provide a central focus to the village. I would hope some of the housing would be for local people - social housing
YES	Combine all three aims to create a lasting solution.
NO	I would need more information before I make my decision.
NO	Decision due to residential development.
NO	Would not condone houses being built to achieve village green as prime agricultural land would be involved.
NO	Already have recreation area.
NO	I live at the green already and do not wish to have any new improvements where I live. Have lived here for 26 years and wish area to remain as it is.
NO	I think that the study would be a waste of money given that people have already voted in favour. This work could be carried out by a panel of villagers for example.
NO	Priority's wrong - should be looking at 1. school car park, 2. bridge - too much traffic.
NO	We object to any new residential development to fund the village green plan.
YES	The village green itself needs to be a no car zone - car parking at rear of development incorporating parking for school as well. Outside Bull needs to be made a roundabout to slow traffic.
YES	In a recent event, the fire service were unable to access a property beyond the school (outside school hours). It is imperative the parking situation is resolved.
YES	I do support the village green...
YES	I believe a village centre is very important and would love to see one built.
YES	Any housing should be in keeping with other properties in the immediate area
YES	The road junction (Lower Road / Station Hill / Vicarage Lane) is dangerous and needs to be improved. Is it possible to ensure that the proposed residential development is in keeping with that part of the village?
YES	Hope this means the awful block stones by the Bull will be professionally laid!
YES	I think a village green is good for the community enabling people to meet and relax.

YES	But don't agree that a residential development is used to fund it
YES	My only concern is the amount of houses around the green
NO	A recreation ground already exists, why do we need another one? There is possibly a need for a small number of dwellings but traffic & parking need to be addressed first.
NO	We opposed this scheme 25 years ago and nothing new is offered - just the prospect of the spread of housing to Coxheath and to the village margin.
NO	Significant comments about road improvements and state of Gallants Lane etc.
NO	Just another way of getting planning for houses through the back door
NO	Improve parking on Vicarage Lane. Improve access to Vicarage Lane palying field.
NO	Before letting someone build houses need to sort out school parking and traffic
NO	RE development - how many and where!!!
NO	Also traffic control needed over bridge - traffic lights if possible. RE car parking and access... - important
NO	Village green not necessary. We consider the priority is access to Vicarage Lane to aleviate accident to children at the school & access for emergency services, ie fire engines in case of fire - as in recent incident in Vicarage Lane - had to carry equipment to the property from the bottom of the road.
YES	Re development... - This will ring alarm bells for many residents!
YES	Re development... - These should be "affordable" homes reserved for youngsters from families who have resided in EF for at least 20 years
YES	How much development of residential property will there be? We are concerned about too much, and whether this gives "the green light" to other developers to move in.
YES	Bring some life to the village
NO	Save your money
NO	We do not require a village green. Have already been down this road, keeps cropping up time after time!
NO	We already have green spaces in the village. This seems an excuse to build houses as a car park for the school (which will probably not be used).
NO	Concerned about the idea of residential development in the village. Do not want to see any greenbelt land given up for development.
NO	We feel that any road improvement would lead to an increase in traffic through the village.
NO	One house one hundred one houses will go up like mushrooms
NO	We would be in favour of the proposal if we could be assured that there would be no hidden agenda and vested interests behind any development projects.
YES	Please keep me advised by email.
YES	I support the proposal to commission a feasibility study but to be fair to people who may be negatively affected by its recommendations, supporting the study should not be taken as having its recommendations automatically accepted.
YES	I do not necessarily support the changes that are being considered
YES	Any residential development should be adjacent to the proposed village green contain mostly low cost / affordable housing
YES	I am currently against the concept of a village green. I think the pro-green group should however be allowed to present their views in a feasibility study.
YES	We may be moving in the near future. I would be prepared to contribute £30.
YES	Where is the "discretely sited" residential development likely to be?
YES	As stated previously I am totally against aim 1 of EFPPAG. It is an attempt to impose social cohension. Aims 2 & 3 are worth pursuing. However a professional study of aim 1 would be valuable - hence my support.
YES	Having moved from Horsmonden which has a village green and local shops we understand the value of a green very well.
YES	I am currently planning to relocate so unlikely to see the end result. If I was staying in the area the proposed type of residential development would be an issue to consider.
YES	One person asked about potential use of station building

YES	Looking forward to seeing results
YES	Concerned that the residential development would be larger than initially envisaged. Also would like one way system over EF bridge and Teston bridge.
YES	Traffic congestion at junction is one of the most annoying/frustrating things about living in EF - mostly due to inconsiderate school parking and large vehicles trying to get along lanes. EF doesn't really have a "centre" to it so it would be a great idea.
YES	It would be useful to know the proposed site of green and development.
NO	Do not want further development of housing in area.
YES	Re road improvements - Forge Lane HGVs daily biggest problem. Re residential development - sited to SW side of "green" of 4/6 semi-detached or terraced. 1. Village school car park was agreed in 2000, behind rented houses, but one tenant objected and caused lack of commitment. 2. Village green could be D-shaped (Lower Road straight 2 way, with new road through orchard to opposite church hall; green inside D. 3. Bridge needs low-sited traffic lights and flashing sign to pre-warn lorries whilst have room to 3-point turn. At "30" sign up Farleigh Hill be needs strong emphasis.
YES	We would very much welcome the introduction of a central village green and feel it would only enhance EF village life
NO	If this is the same proposal that has been raised twice before in the last 15 years to build on the orchards opposite church & Bull - NO. We would like a meeting on site at the end of our road, about entry onto the bridge, for council to see the danger we have with vehicle coming down the righthand side of the road. Would like to discuss prior to a meeting.
YES	We need housing to bring young people into the village or it will stagnate. I think a village green is a good idea, and to include parking for school runs.
YES	As long as the development of the houses is for the benefit of the community
YES	The bridge is very important and should be linked to the feasibility study. Size & type of housing very important. Will use of hall be commensurate with cost?
YES	No residential development discretely sited or not
YES	Not interested as does not do anything for my end of Dean S
YES	Approve feasibility study on condition that those who undertake it advise minimal interference with the natural land contours and favour emphasis of any remnants of rural character since the parish as a whole mainly consists of widely scattered pockets of suburbia.
NO	EF is not geographically situated to warrant a village green
NO	I support the proposal to investigate and solve the problems caused by parking at the school
NO	The project should be shelved until the economic situation improves
NO	Any available funds should be used to protect our bridge, and provide traffic control lights. All residents would benefit all the time, and not some residents some of the time.